



**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

**Planning Committee**

**19 March 2026**

Agenda Item Number	Page	Title
14	Page 2	Public Speakers
14	Pages 3 - 4	Written Updates

*If you need any further information about the meeting please contact Matt Swinford / Martyn Surfleet, Democratic and Elections [democracy@cherwell-dc.gov.uk](mailto:democracy@cherwell-dc.gov.uk), 01295 221534*

# Planning Committee 19 March 2026 – Public Speakers

Agenda Item	Application Number	Application Address	Ward Member	Speaker – Objector	Speaker – Support
9	25/02174/OUT	Land West of Denbigh Close Adjacent to Broughton Road, Banbury	Councillor Kieron Mallon	None	Andy Wilkins, Lone Star Land – Applicant
10	25/03231/F	38 Longfields, Bicester, OX26 6QL	Councillor Donna Ford	None	Mr Jack Piccaver, Hexiqon Homes Ltd – Applicant
11	25/03055/F	73 High Street, Kidlington, OX5 2DN	Cllr Dorothy Walker	Mr Robert Packard – Local Resident	None
12	25/01284/F	Hadsham Farm Hornton Lane, Horley, OX15 6BN	None	None	None
13	25/03139/F	Dashwood Apartments, Dashwood Road, Banbury, OX16 5HA	None	None	None

## CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL

### PLANNING COMMITTEE

19 March 2026

#### WRITTEN UPDATES

##### **Agenda Item 8 – Appeals Progress Report**

No update

##### **Agenda Item 9 – Land West of Denbigh Close Adjacent to Broughton Road, Banbury**

No update

##### **Agenda Item 10 - 38 Longfields, Bicester**

#### **Additional Representations received**

One additional letter has been received from a member of the public. The comments raise the following points:

- The application wasn't re-advertised following the submission of additional documents
- The properties in the area were built as family homes with significant public money being invested in facilities to support families (such as school, nurseries and Garth Park) - therefore it does not seem reasonable for the council to choose to reduce the amount of family housing in the area
- The decision would force those in need of schools to live further away and be required to travel (contrary to climate change strategies)
- Set a precedent for further similar developments
- OCC still raised concerns following the submission of additional information
- Do not believe the assertions in the parking stress survey are supported by OCC
- Parking concerns have not been addressed

#### **Officer Response**

Following the initial objection made by the Local Highway Authority (LHA), the applicant submitted additional plans and a parking stress survey. The LHA were re-consulted and submitted an objection; however, they had not reviewed the parking stress survey and re-considered the proposal. At this stage they concluded there was no objection provided the dropped kerb extension was limited to a maximum of an additional 2m with the provision of only 2 parking spaces. It was requested that this was conditioned, however, officers sought amended plans showing two parking spaces and a reduced width dropped kerb to ensure there was clarity and removed the need for a condition.

The application was advertised correctly in accordance with statutory requirements. The additional information that was submitted during the application related to technical highways

information and therefore it was not necessary to re-consult the public. It was clear that members of the public were concerned about the level of parking provision and any re-consultation was not anticipated to alter these concerns which have already been considered. Advice was sought from the LHA as set out above.

### **Conclusion**

The additional comments received do not raise any additional planning material considerations which have not already been addressed within the case officer's report.

The recommendation remains as it is set out in the case officer report.

### **Agenda Item 11 – 73 High Street, Kidlington**

#### **Officer Update**

The applicant has submitted an additional covering letter since the publication of the committee report. The letter includes the following points:

- Adapted rooms and ensuite facilities for my children with long-term illnesses and disabilities
- Critical layout and window placement essential for safety and welfare
- Upper floor previously approved as storage, now adapted due to family circumstances
- Roof approval and Master Kidlington Plan alignment
- Non-heritage status with Conservation Officer confirming no harm
- Equality Act 2010 considerations

The supporting letter does not raise any additional issues which have not already been considered within the case officer report.

### **Conclusion**

The recommendation remains as it is set out in the case officer report.

### **Agenda item 12 – Hadsham Farm, Hornton Lane, Horley**

No update

### **Agenda item 13 – Dashwood Apartments, Dashwood Road, Banbury**

No update